MM 2 7 2003

pplication No. 09/922,221

Applicant(s)



Interview Summary TRADEN

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) <u>Arun Chakrabarti</u>	_ (3) David Gay
(2) Astrid Spain	(4)
Date of Interview May 1, 2003	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq\) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed:  All	
112, 2nd rejection will be withdrawn. 102(a) over Sharon a withdrawn with regard to claims 19-23. With regard to claims reference by Beattie does not teach "the sequential additional additions of the sequential additions."	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or also will be withrawn (WO 98/38296). 102(b) over Beattie is aims 1-11, 14-18, Applicants arguement that the cited of a next-most terminal double-stranded oligonucleotide" will
(A fuller description if necessary and a convert the	
	te record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of the Interview.	WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST Processing to the last Office action has
xaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is  Attachment to a signed Office action.	KHIBIT A Aroun Kr. Chakraleeth

Examiner's signature, if required